

COMMENTS

RESPONSES

In Page 1 of 2

To: joelr@rpv.com
Subject: Fwd: Re: test only

Joel,

I believe Ms. Khaligh has jop confused with joelr.

Jo
Date: Tue, 20 Apr 2004 14:58:23 -0700 (PDT)
From: shahzad khaligh <smkhaligh@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: test only
To: Jo Purcell <jop@rpv.com>
X-RCPT-TO: <jop@rpv.com>

Dear Jo,

I am writing to you regarding the NCCP project and Acquisition of the open spaces.

<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

While I really appreciate the effort put in to Draft the EIR, yet there exist a bit of ambiguity in the plan. To better understand the project, I tried to distinguish the reserved plan from the conserved plan, but there are overlapping thoughts in the plans that did not allow me to get a clear picture of the proposal.

Figure 2-3 clearly shows Natural Vegetation, Linkage and Regionally Important Habitat area. Section 3.1.4 states that all of the primary habitat linkages between relatively large patches of habitat are included in the proposed reserve design. Table 3-1, confirms Section 3.1.4 and verifies the definition in Figure 2.3.

KHALIGH 1

On the other hand, Figure 3.5, Item 10 in Table 3.3 and Figure 3-6 seem to deviate from the proposed vegetation conservation strategy. That also, in a low profile is implied by Section 3.1.2.

While I am not absolutely certain on the region and boundaries of Lower Filiorum, I do not see the proper reason for excluding 62 acres from City's Moratorium Area for future development, even though the proposed development will result in the dedication of 41 acres of private lands to the reserved.

KHALIGH 2

I believe the lower Filiorum Parcel requires an in-depth analysis and study that takes into account many critical issues from many different angles before it can be discussed for

Printed for Joel Rojas <joelr@rpv.com>

4/20/2004

Comment 1

Regionally important areas were identified in the Phase 1 process, however not all of these areas were included in the Reserve Design (approximately 78% of the acres were conserved).

Comment 2

Only 40 acres of the 95-acre Lower Filiorum parcel is proposed to be included in the habitat Reserve, if there is approval of a development project. Adding additional lands to the Reserve would require additional acquisition funds. A detailed CEQA analysis is being prepared for the proposed Point View project.

| <i>COMMENTS</i> | <i>RESPONSES</i> |
|-----------------|------------------|
|-----------------|------------------|

Comment 3
Comment noted.

In

Page 2 of 2

development.

KHALIGH 2 (cont'd)

In conclusion, a proper proposed plan is perceived to be Figure 4-4 Alternative A of Plan Implementation, consistent with Figure 2-3 and Table 3 1. Where Figure 4-4 alternative C, consistent with figures 3-5 and 3-6, requires further study.

KHALIGH 3

Look forward to hearing from you.

Best,

Shahzad

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Photos: